U.S. Application No. 09/748,002

Docket No. 2091-225P

Art Unit: 2625

Page 8 of 10

**REMARKS** 

Applicants appreciate the consideration of the present application afforded by the

Examiner. Claims 1-3 and 7-23 are currently pending. Favorable reconsideration and allowance

of the present application are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph Rejection

Claims 19-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being

indefinite. Specifically, the language "includes is such that" was indicated as being unclear.

Applicants have amended the claims to address this language.

The Examiner also indicated that the term "the desired color tone" in claims 19-21 lacks

antecedent basis. Applicants respectfully disagree. Claim 1 recites "a desired color-tone" in line

3. Claim 2 recites "a desired color-tone" in lines 4-5. Claim 3 recites "a desired color-tone" in

line 3. Claims 19-21 are dependent upon claims 1-3, respectively. Therefore, it is submitted that

the limitation "the desired color tone" in claims 19-21 has antecedent basis.

Claims 19-21 have been amended to address the issues indicated in the Office Action.

Applicants respectfully request that the § 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 19-21 be

withdrawn.

U.S. Application No. 09/748,002

Docket No. 2091-225P

Art Unit: 2625

Page 9 of 10

Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claims 19-21 as being of improper dependent form for

failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim, further stating that the recitations of

the claims are duplicates of recitations in independent claims 1-3. Applicants respectfully

disagree.

The independent claims recite the step of (or means for) "changing a color-tone of a

desired area including the second area into the color-tone of the first area while gradually

changing the color-tone at a border of the second area." As recited in the claim, the second area

is included in the desired area. The color-tone of the desired area is changed and the color-tone

at a border of the second area is gradually changed. Dependent claims 19-21 further limit the

independent claims by specifying that "a transition of the color tone of the second area from the

border of the second area to the desired color tone is gradual". In other words, claims 19-21

specify the color tone of the second area is changed gradually from the border of the second area.

The independent claims only specify that the color tone of the desired area (which includes the

second area) is changed while gradually changing the color tone at a border of the second area.

Thus, dependent claims 19-21 further limit independent claims 1-3. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully request that the objection to claims 19-21 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

All objections and rejections raised in the Office Action having been addressed, it is

respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should there be

U.S. Application No. 09/748,002

Docket No. 2091-225P

Art Unit: 2625

Page 10 of 10

any outstanding matters that need to be resolved, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

contact D. Richard Anderson (Reg. No. 40,439), to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Date: February 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted

BIRCH, STEWART, MOLASCH &, BIRCH, LLP

RV.

D. Richard Anderson Reg. No. 40,439

DRA/HNS/JRS/jm

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000